The Influence of Structural Setting and Lithology on Landslide Type and Pattern in Qazvin-Rasht Freeway

Mahnaz Firuzi¹, Mohammadhosen Ghobadi^{*1}, Ali Noorzad², Ali Asghar Sepahi¹

 Department of Geology, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamadan, IRAN
Faculty of Civil, Water and Environmental Engineering, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, IRAN

Extended Abstract

(Paper pages 95-124)

Introduction

Landslides have an effective role in the destruction of freeways and railroads, which have been caused to many human and financial losses. Understanding this phenomenon and its effective factors can be important in planning for development projects and away from landslide prone areas. Based on extensive field in the Qazvin-Rasht freeway that the authors carried out in various researches in 2014-2017, it was found that the freeway was threatened by the type of instabilities due to variety of lithologies and tectonic structures exploitation phase and needs to be stabilized. The purpose of this study is to determine of the distribution of landslides in different types of lithologicalunits of the Qazvin-Rasht freewaythat shows the role of geology and differences in geotechnical characteristics and tectonic structures in the creation and distribution of landslides on the road. The role of geology on the difference in geotechnical properties and tectonic structures in the creation and distribution in the road. Geological engineering properties and appropriate stabilization methods is the other goals of this study.

Material and Methods

In the study, the locations and the type of landslides are distinguished and the information were plotted on geological map. Then by the ARC GIS 10.2 program, and the use of area density method, the percentage of landslide events in each geological formation was identified. In order to study the role of lithology (type of rock, texture, mineralogy, weathering, alteration and erosion), sampling were carried out from rocks of Karaj formation, Shemshak formation, Cretaceous orbitalolina limestone and Fajan conglomerate. Geotechnical characteristics of the samples were determined by performing laboratory tests such as dry weight, porosity, uni-axial compressive strength according to ISRM standard (1979). For determining the role of tectonic structures (number of joints, dip and dip direction, length (m), spacing (cm), filling percentage, opening (mm), roughness, weathering, water, friction angle) were performed. Then, the results obtained from relative density and frequency were matched with the geological, geotechnical characteristics and tectonic structures of each formation.

Results

In order to separate different types of landslides on various kinds of rocks, area density and frequencyof landslides were determined by Eqs 1 and 2. Graph of frequency and area density are presented in Fig. 6 and Table 2, respectively. As can be seen in this figure and table, in Karaj formation, the percentage of rock fall, toppling, avalanche, scree slope and combined slip are the highest. In the rocks belonging to the Shemshak formation, the susceptibility of the debris flow and landslides has been increased. In Fajan conglomerates and limestones of the Ziarat and Cretaceous formations, the rockfalls is more formed.

✓ Area density of landslide

$$\% L_{I} = A_{L} / A_{T}$$
(1)

where L_I : area density, A_L : area of landslides in each lithological unit, A_T : area of landslides in total area.

✓ frequency of landslide

$$% L_{\rm F} = N_{\rm L} / N_{\rm T}$$
 (2)

where L_F : frequency of landslide, N_L : number of landslides in each lithological unit, N_T : number of landslides in total area.



Figure 1. Landslides frequency in each lithological unit in the Qazvin-Rasht freeway

Table 1. Relationships between of	different types	of landslides	with geologica
	units		

Geological units		Area of	Area density of landslide (L _I : %)							
		each lithological unit (km ²)	Slope wash	Toppling	Avalanche	Rock fall	Landslide	Debris flow	Scree slope	
Palaeogene	Karaj	А	11.4	4	-	-	19.6	3	-	29.9
		E6v	17.7	17	13.7	6.18	12.4	10.2	-	6
		Evt	30.7	20.6	-	1.8	16	1.2	-	2.2
		Etb3	14.3	-	3	-	61	-	-	5
		Ev2	2.5	-	-	-	-	1.2	-	-
	Ziarat	EIsh	0.68	1	-	2	-	-	5	-
	Fajan	Pef	1.3	-	5	-	45	-	-	4
Cretaceous	Obitalolina limestone	K11	0.26	-	0.18	-	-	-	-	-
Jurassic	Shemshak	Js, c, s,	14.1	-	-	-	1.5	35.3	15	-

Conclusion

Result showed that despite significant heterogeneity in lithology, geotechnics, engineering geology and tectonic structures, there are similarities between the types and distribution of landslides. Four of the

identified landslides consist of rock fall, toppling, avalanche in the resistant and medium strength rocks such as andesite, trachy-andesite and basalts of Karaj formation, Cretaceous orbitalolina limestone and Fajan conglomerate with regard to the dominant direction of the joints in relation to the slope, the shear strength of the joints and their weathering, falling and scree slope in thesiliceous zone and composite landslide in the argilite-alounite zone due to the high alteration and groundwater level and water retention by the presence of clay minerals, landslide in the sequence of loose and resistant rocks, debris flow and landslides in the soils of Shemshak formation due to the lepidoblastic texture of the slate and their high erosion potential due to the weather climate along the Manjil-Rudbar freeway.

Keywords: Qazvin-Rasht freeway, landslide, Karaj formation, Shemshak, ARC GIS.

*Corresponding Author: firuzi.mahnaz@yahoo.com